| DECISION-MAKER:              | OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT<br>COMMITTEE         |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| SUBJECT:                     | MONITORING SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE  |  |
| DATE OF DECISION:            | 11 OCTOBER 2012                                       |  |
| REPORT OF:                   | SENIOR MANAGER – CUSTOMER AND BUSINESS<br>IMPROVEMENT |  |
| STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY |   |  |

#### None

#### **BRIEF SUMMARY**

This item enables the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee to monitor and track progress on recommendations made to the Executive at previous meetings.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

(i) That the Committee considers the responses from Cabinet Members to recommendations from previous meetings and provides feedback.

## **REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. To assist the Committee in assessing the impact and consequence of recommendations made at previous meetings.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

2. None.

#### **DETAIL** (Including consultation carried out)

- 3. Appendix 1 of the report sets out the recommendations made to Cabinet Members at previous meetings of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee. It also contains summaries of any action taken by Cabinet Members in response to the recommendations.
- 4. The progress status for each recommendation is indicated and if the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee confirms acceptance of the items marked as completed they will be removed from the list. In cases where action on the recommendation is outstanding or the Committee does not accept the matter has been adequately completed, it will be kept on the list and reported back to the next meeting. It will remain on the list until such time as the Committee accepts the recommendation as completed. Rejected recommendations will only be removed from the list after being reported to the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee.

#### **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

#### Capital/Revenue

5. None

#### Property/Other

6. None

## LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

#### Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report:

7. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Section 21 of the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.

#### Other Legal Implications:

8. None.

### POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS

9. None.

| AUTHOR:                     | Name:   | Mark Pirnie                               |  | Tel: | 023 8083 3886 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---|--|------|---------------|
|                             | E-mail: | Mark.pirnie@southampton.gov.uk            |  |      |               |
| KEY DECISION? No            |         |   |  |      |               |
| WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED: |         | None directly as a result of this report. |  |      |               |

## SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

# Non-confidential appendices are in the Members' Rooms and can be accessed on-line

#### Appendices

1. Monitoring Scrutiny Recommendations – 11<sup>th</sup> October 2012

#### **Documents In Members' Rooms**

None

#### **Integrated Impact Assessment**

| Do the implications/subject of the report require an Integrated Impact | No |
|--|----|
| Assessment (IIA) to be carried out.                                    |    |

#### **Other Background Documents**

Integrated Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at:

 Title of Background Paper(s)
 Relevant Paragraph of the Access to

 Information Procedure Rules / Schedule
 12A allowing document to be

 Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)

| None |
|------|
|------|